IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION MAIDEN BIOSCIENCES, INC.,

Plaintiff, VS.

DOCUMENT SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

COURT'S CHARGE TO THE JURY

Civil Action No. 3:21-CV-0327-D

MEMBERS OF THE JURY:

1

2 3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Now that you have heard all of the evidence and the argument of counsel, it becomes my duty to give you the instructions of the court concerning the law applicable to this case.

It is your duty as jurors to follow the law as I shall state it to you and to apply that law to the facts as you find them from the evidence in the case. You are not to single out one instruction as stating the law, but must consider the instructions as a whole. Neither are you to be concerned with the wisdom of any rule of law stated by me.

Regardless of any opinion you may have as to what the law is or ought to be, it would be a violation of your sworn duty to base a verdict upon any view of the law other than that given in these instructions, just as it would also be a violation of your sworn duty, as judges of the facts, to base a verdict upon anything other than the evidence in the case.

In deciding the facts of this case, you must not be swayed by bias or prejudice or favor as to any party. Our system of law does not permit jurors to be governed by prejudice or sympathy or public opinion. The parties and the public expect that you will carefully and impartially consider

all of the evidence in the case, follow the law as stated in these instructions, and reach a just verdict regardless of the consequences.

This case should be considered and decided by you as an action between persons of equal standing in the community and holding the same or similar stations in life. The law is no respecter of persons, and all persons, including corporations, stand equal before the law and are to be dealt with as equals in a court of justice.

As stated earlier, it is your duty to determine the facts, and in so doing you must consider only the evidence I have admitted in the case. The term "evidence" includes the sworn testimony of the witnesses, including deposition witnesses, the exhibits admitted in the record, and the stipulated facts.

A "stipulation" is an agreement. When there is no dispute about certain facts, the attorneys may agree or "stipulate" to those facts. You must accept a stipulated fact as evidence and treat that fact as having been proved here in court.

The term "evidence" does not include anything that I have instructed you to disregard.

Evidence admitted before you for a limited purpose may not be considered for any purpose other than the limited purpose for which it was admitted.

Remember that any statements, objections, or arguments made by the lawyers are not evidence in the case. The function of the lawyers is to point out those things that are most significant or most helpful to their side of the case and, in so doing, to call your attention to certain facts or inferences that might otherwise escape your notice. In the final analysis, however, it is your own recollection and interpretation of the evidence that controls in the case. What the lawyers say

is not binding upon you. If an attorney's question contained an assertion of fact that the witness did not adopt, the assertion is not evidence of that fact.

You are not bound by any opinion that you might think I have concerning the facts of this case, and if I have in any way said or done anything that leads you to believe that I have any opinion about the facts in this case, you are instructed to disregard it. Further, nothing in these instructions to you is made for the purpose of suggesting or conveying to you an intimation as to what verdict I think you should find.

Although you should consider only the evidence in the case, you are permitted to draw such reasonable inferences from the testimony and exhibits as you feel are justified in the light of common experience. In other words, you may make deductions and reach conclusions that reason and common sense lead you to draw from the facts established by the evidence in the case.

You should not be concerned about whether the evidence is direct or circumstantial. "Direct evidence" exists when the evidence directly establishes the facts that a party asserts to be true, such as by an eyewitness or in a document. "Circumstantial evidence" is proof of a chain of facts and circumstances that, without going directly to prove the existence of an essential fact, gives rise to a logical inference that such fact does actually exist. The law makes no distinction between the weight you may give to either direct or circumstantial evidence.

Now, I have said that you must consider all of the evidence. This does not mean, however, that you must accept all of the evidence as true or accurate.

You are the sole judges of the "credibility" or believability of each witness and the weight to be given to the witness' testimony. In weighing the testimony of a witness, you should consider the witness' relationship to a particular party; the witness' interest, if any, in the outcome of the

case; the witness' manner of testifying; the witness' opportunity to observe or acquire knowledge concerning the facts about which the witness testified; the witness' candor, fairness, and intelligence; and the extent to which the witness' testimony has been supported or contradicted by other credible evidence. You may, in short, accept or reject the testimony of any witness, in whole or in part.

Also, the weight of the evidence is not necessarily determined by the number of witnesses testifying as to the existence or nonexistence of any fact. You may find that the testimony of a smaller number of witnesses as to any fact is more credible than the testimony of a larger number of witnesses to the contrary.

A witness may be "impeached" or discredited by contradictory evidence, by a showing that the witness testified falsely concerning a material matter, or by evidence that at some other time the witness said or did something, or failed to say or do something, that is inconsistent with the witness' present testimony. If you believe that any witness has been so impeached, it is your exclusive province to give the testimony of that witness such credibility or weight, if any, as you think it deserves.

You should keep in mind, of course, that a simple mistake by a witness does not necessarily mean that the witness was not telling the truth as the witness remembers it, because people naturally tend to forget some things or remember other things inaccurately. So, if a witness has made a misstatement, you need to consider whether that misstatement was simply an innocent lapse of memory or an intentional falsehood, and that may depend on whether it has to do with an important fact or with only an unimportant detail.

Certain testimony has been presented to you through a deposition. A deposition is the sworn, recorded answers to questions asked a witness in advance of the trial. Before this trial, attorneys representing the parties in this case questioned the witness under oath. A court reporter was present and recorded the testimony. This deposition testimony is entitled to the same consideration and is to be judged by you as to credibility, and weighed and otherwise considered by you insofar as possible, in the same way as if the witness had been present and had testified from the witness stand in court.

Deposition testimony can be introduced for the purpose of impeaching or discrediting a witness. If, in the deposition, the witness made any statements in conflict with testimony the witness gave in court, you may consider such conflicts and any explanation therefor in determining the witness' credibility.

Certain exhibits were used during trial for demonstrative purposes, which means they were not admitted in evidence and will not be provided to you during your deliberations. You may consider demonstrative exhibits to the extent they help you understand the evidence admitted during the trial, but you are entitled to disregard them entirely if you find that they do not accurately reflect the evidence that they purport to demonstrate.

The rules of evidence provide that if scientific, technical, or other specialized knowledge will assist the jury to understand the evidence or to determine a fact in issue, a witness qualified by knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education may testify and state an opinion concerning such matters if the testimony is based upon sufficient facts or data, the testimony is the product of reliable principles and methods, and the witness has applied the principles and methods reliably to the facts in the case.

You should consider each opinion received in evidence in this case and give it such weight as you may think it deserves. If you should decide that the opinion is not based upon sufficient knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education, or if you should conclude that the reasons given in support of the opinion are not sound, or that the opinion is not based upon sufficient facts or data, or that the opinion is outweighed by other evidence, or that the opinion is not the product of reliable principles and methods, or that the witness has not applied the principles and methods reliably to the facts in the case, then you may disregard the opinion entirely.

There are two burdens—or standards—of proof that apply in this case. The applicable burden of proof is mentioned at various places in this charge and is identified in the instruction that precedes each question that you are asked to answer.

The first burden is called proof by a "preponderance of the evidence." A preponderance of the evidence means such evidence as, when considered and compared with that opposed to it, has more convincing force and produces in your minds a belief that what is sought to be proved is more likely true than not true. To prove a claim or defense by a "preponderance of the evidence" merely means to prove that the claim or defense is more likely so than not so.

The second burden is called proof by "clear and convincing evidence." "Clear and convincing evidence" means the measure or degree of proof that produces a firm belief or conviction of the truth of the allegations sought to be established. The clear and convincing evidence standard is a heavier burden than the preponderance of the evidence standard.

In determining whether any fact in issue has been proved by the applicable burden of proof, the jury may consider the testimony of all the witnesses, including deposition witnesses, regardless

Case 3:21-cv-00327-D Document 212 Filed 12/20/22 Page 7 of 27 PageID 3059

of who may have called them, and all the exhibits received in evidence, regardless of who may have 136 produced them. 137 In this case more than one claim and defense is involved. Therefore, you must consider each 138 claim and defense, and the evidence pertaining to it, separately, as you would had each claim and 139 defense been tried before you separately. 140 If the proof fails to establish any essential element of a claim or defense by the applicable 141 burden of proof, the jury must find against the party with the burden of proof on that claim or 142 defense. 143 As used in this charge, the following terms have these meanings: 144 The term "Maiden" means plaintiff Maiden Biosciences, Inc. 145 The term "DSS" means defendant DSS, Inc. 146 The term "Decentralized" means defendant Decentralized Sharing Systems, Inc. 147 The term "HWH" means defendant HWH World, Inc., formerly known as Bliss 148 International, Inc. 149 The term "RBC Sciences" means defendant RBC Life Sciences, Inc. 150 The term "RBC International" means defendant RBC Life International, Inc. 151 The term "RBC USA" means defendant RBC Life Sciences USA, Inc. 152 The term "DSS Defendants" means, collectively, defendants DSS, Decentralized, HWH, and 153 RBC International. 154 A "claim" means a right to payment or property, whether or not the right is reduced to 155 judgment, liquidated, unliquidated, fixed, contingent, matured, unmatured, disputed, undisputed, 156 157 legal, equitable, secured, or unsecured.

Case 3:21-cv-00327-D Document 212 Filed 12/20/22 Page 8 of 27 PageID 3060

A "creditor" means a person who has a claim. 158 A "debt" means a liability on a claim. 159 A "debtor" means a person who is liable on a claim. 160 A debtor is insolvent if the sum of the debtor's debts is greater than all of the debtor's assets 161 at a fair valuation. A debtor who is generally not paying the debtor's debts as they become due is 162 presumed to be insolvent. 163 Assets under this instruction do not include property that has been transferred, concealed, 164 or removed with intent to hinder, delay, or defraud creditors or that has been transferred in a manner 165 making the transfer voidable under these instructions. 166 A "person" can be an individual, corporation, organization, or any other legal or commercial 167 entity. 168 "Transfer" means every mode, direct or indirect, absolute or conditional, voluntary or 169 involuntary, of disposing of or parting with an asset or an interest in an asset, and includes payment 170 of money, release, lease, and creation of a lien or other encumbrance. 171 An "insider" includes a director or officer of a debtor, person in control of the debtor, and 172 affiliate of the debtor. 173 An "affiliate" includes a person or any other legal entity whose business is operated by the 174 debtor under a lease or other agreement, or a person substantially all of whose assets are controlled 175 by the debtor. 176

MAIDEN'S FRAUDULENT TRANSFER CLAIMS

Maiden brings actual and constructive fraudulent transfer claims against the defendants. The defendants deny that Maiden is entitled to recover on these claims.

QUESTION NO. 1:

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

187

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

Did RBC Sciences or RBC USA transfer any of the assets or incur any of the obligations listed below with actual intent to hinder, delay, or defraud any creditor?

In determining actual intent, you may consider, among other factors, whether—

- 1. The transfer or obligation was to an insider.
- 185 2. RBC Sciences or RBC USA retained possession or control of the property transferred
 186 after the transfer.
 - 3. The transfer or obligation was concealed.
- Before the transfer was made or the obligation was incurred, RBC Sciences or RBC
 USA had been sued or threatened with suit.
 - 5. The transfer was of substantially all of RBC Sciences' or RBC USA's assets.
 - 6. RBC Sciences or RBC USA absconded.
 - 7. RBC Sciences or RBC USA removed or concealed assets.
 - 8. The value of the consideration received by RBC Sciences or RBC USA was reasonably equivalent to the value of the asset transferred or the amount of the obligation incurred.
 - 9. RBC Sciences or RBC USA was insolvent or became insolvent shortly after the transfer was made or the obligation was incurred.
 - 10. The transfer occurred shortly before or shortly after a substantial debt was incurred.

RBC Sciences or RBC USA transferred the essential assets of the business to a lienor 198 11. who transferred the assets to an insider of RBC Sciences or RBC USA. 199 "Reasonably equivalent value" includes, without limitation, a transfer or obligation that is 200 within the range of values for which the transferor would have sold the assets in an arm's length 201 transaction. 202 <u>Instruction</u>: Maiden has the burden of proof by a preponderance of 203 the evidence. If Maiden has met its burden of proof, answer "Yes"; 204 otherwise, answer "No." Answer "Yes" or "No" for each asset or 205 obligation listed below. 206 All or substantially all assets of RBC Sciences. 1. 207 ANSWER: <u>/es</u> 208 2. All or substantially all assets of RBC USA. 209 ANSWER: Ves 210 211 **QUESTION NO. 2:** 212 Did RBC Sciences or RBC USA transfer any of the assets or incur any of the obligations 213 listed below without receiving reasonably equivalent value? 214 Value is given for a transfer or an obligation if, in exchange for the transfer or obligation, 215 property is transferred or an antecedent debt is secured or satisfied, but value does not include an 216 unperformed promise made otherwise than in the ordinary course of the promisor's business to 217 furnish support to the debtor or another person. 218 "Reasonably equivalent value" includes, without limitation, a transfer or obligation that is 219

within the range of values for which the transferor would have sold the assets in an arm's length

220

221

transaction.

Case 3:21-cv-00327-D Document 212 Filed 12/20/22 Page 11 of 27 PageID 3063

222	For this question only, a person gives a reasonably equivalent value if the person acquires
223	an interest of the debtor in an asset pursuant to a regularly conducted, noncollusive foreclosure sale
224	or execution of a power of sale for the acquisition or disposition of the interest of the debtor upon
225	default under a mortgage, deed of trust, or security agreement.
226 227	<u>Instruction</u> : Maiden has the burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence. If Maiden has met its burden of proof, answer "Yes";
228	otherwise, answer "No." Answer "Yes" or "No" for each asset or obligation listed below.
- 230	1. All or substantially all assets of RBC Sciences.
231	ANSWER: 1es
232	2. All or substantially all assets of RBC USA.
233	ANSWER: 125
234	·
235	If you answered "Yes" as to an asset or obligation in Question No. 2, answer Question No.
236	3 as to the asset or obligation. Otherwise, do not answer Question No. 3.
237	QUESTION NO. 3:
238	At the time the asset was transferred or the obligation was incurred—
239	1. Was RBC Sciences or RBC USA engaged in or about to engage in a business or a
240	transaction for which its remaining assets were unreasonably small in relation to the business or
241	transaction; or
242	2. Did RBC Sciences or RBC USA intend to incur or believe that it would incur, or should
243	it reasonably have believed that it would incur, debts beyond its ability to pay as they became due;
244	or

245	3. Was RBC Sciences or RBC USA insolvent or did it become insolvent as a result of the
246	transfer or obligation?
247	<u>Instruction</u> : Maiden has the burden of proof by a preponderance of
248	the evidence. If Maiden has met its burden of proof, answer "Yes";
249	otherwise, answer "No." Answer "Yes" or "No" for each asset or
250	obligation listed below for which you answered "Yes" in response to
251	Question No. 2.
252	1. All or substantially all assets of RBC Sciences.
253	ANSWER:
254	·
254	2. All or substantially all assets of RBC USA.
255	ANSWER: 125
256 257	QUESTION NO. 4 is intentionally omitted.
258	If you answered "Yes" to an asset or obligation in Question No. 1 or 3, answer Question No.
259	5. Otherwise, do not answer Question No. 5.
260	QUESTION NO. 5:
261	Is RBC USA responsible for the conduct of RBC Sciences?
262	Instruction: Maiden has the burden of proof by a preponderance of
263	the evidence. If Maiden has met its burden of proof, answer "Yes";
264	otherwise, answer "No."
265	ANSWER: 125

Case 3:21-cv-00327-D Document 212 Filed 12/20/22 Page 13 of 27 PageID 3065

266	RBC USA is "responsible" for the conduct of RBC Sciences if RBC USA was organized and
267	operated as a mere tool or business conduit of RBC Sciences; there was such unity between RBC
268	USA and RBC Sciences that the separateness of RBC USA had ceased; and holding only RBC
269	Sciences responsible would result in injustice.
270	In deciding whether there was such unity between RBC USA and RBC Sciences that the
271	separateness of RBC USA had ceased, you are to consider the total dealings of RBC USA and RBC
272	Sciences, including—
273	1. the degree to which RBC USA's property had been kept separate from that of RBC
274	Sciences;
275	2. the amount of financial interest, ownership, and control RBC Sciences maintained over
276	RBC USA; and
277	3. whether RBC USA had been used for personal purposes of RBC Sciences.
278	If you answered "Yes" to an asset or obligation in Question No. 1 or 3, answer Question No.
279	6. Otherwise, do not answer Question No. 6.
280	QUESTION NO. 6:
281	What is the amount necessary to satisfy Maiden's claim?
282	Instruction: Maiden has the burden of proof by a preponderance of
283	the evidence. Answer in dollars and cents, if any.
284	ANSWER: \$4,244,659.50 + court interest
	+ (a)
	COST INTEREST

Case 3:21-cv-00327-D Document 212 Filed 12/20/22 Page 14 of 27 PageID 3066

285	If you answered "Yes" to an asset or obligation in Question No. 1 or 3, answer Question No.					
286	7. Otherwise, do not answer Question No. 7.					
287	QUESTION NO. 7:					
288	What was the value of the assets transferred at the time of the transfer?					
289	You should subtract the value of a debt secured by a "valid lien" from the value of the assets.					
290	A "valid lien" means a lien that is perfected by filing an appropriate financing statement. However,					
291	a lien is not a "valid lien" if it is fraudulently created or is part of a larger fraudulent scheme.					
202	Instructions M. Health and A. L. Company					
292	<u>Instruction</u> : Maiden has the burden of proof by a preponderance of					
293	the evidence. Answer in dollars and cents, if any.					
294	ANSWER: \$5,000,000					
295 296	If you answered "Yes" to an asset or obligation in Question No. 1 or 3, answer Question No. 8. Otherwise, do not answer Question No. 8.					
297	QUESTION NO. 8:					
• • •						
298	Instruction: Maiden has the burden of proof by a preponderance of					
299	the evidence. If Maiden has met its burden of proof, answer "Yes";					
300	otherwise, answer "No."					
301	Were these parties transferees of RBC Sciences' assets?					
302	1. DSS ANSWER:					
303	2. Decentralized ANSWER:					
304	3. HWH ANSWER:					
305	4. RBC International ANSWER:					

Case 3:21-cv-00327-D Document 212 Filed 12/20/22 Page 15 of 27 PageID 3067

306	Were these p	earties transferees of RB	C USA's assets?	
307	5.	DSS	ANSWER:	No
308	6.	Decentralized	ANSWER:	yes
309	7.	HWH	ANSWER:	NO
310	8.	RBC International	ANSWER:	<u>0</u>
311	Were these p	arties persons for whose	benefit a transfer was	made?
312	9.	DSS	ANSWER:	yes
313	10.	Decentralized	ANSWER:	yes
314	11	HWH	ANSWER:	jes
315	12.	RBC International	ANSWER:	00
316	Answer Ques	tion No. 9 only if you an	aswered Question No. 1	"Yes" in at least one space of
317	answered Question N	No. 8 "Yes" as to at least	one defendant.	
318	QUESTION NO. 9:			
319	Do you find b	y clear and convincing e	vidence that the harm t	o Maiden resulted from malice
320	or fraud of the defend	dant in question?		
321	"Clear and co	nvincing evidence" mean	ns the measure or degre	ee of proof that produces a firm
322	belief or conviction of	of the truth of the allegat	ions sought to be estab	lished.
323	"Malice" mea	ns a specific intent by th	e defendant in question	n to cause substantial injury or

harm to Maiden.

324

Case 3:21-cv-00327-D Document 212 Filed 12/20/22 Page 16 of 27 PageID 3068

325	"Fraud" means any fraud found by y	ou as to the defendant in question when answering
326	Question No. 1.	
327 328 329 330	evidence. If Maiden has met	rden of proof by clear and convincing its burden of proof, answer "Yes"; wer only as to a defendant as to whom se to Question No. 1 or No. 8.
331	1. DSS	ANSWER:
332	2. Decentralized	ANSWER: Yes
333	3. HWH	ANSWER: <u>Les</u>
334	4. RBC International	ANSWER:
335	5. RBC Sciences	ANSWER: Yes
336	6. RBC USA	ANSWER: YES
337 338	Answer Question No. 10 only if you defendant. Otherwise, do not answer Question	answered Question No. 9 "Yes" as to at least one n No. 10.
339	QUESTION NO. 10:	
340	What sum of money, if any, if paid now	in cash, should be assessed against a defendant listed
341	below and awarded to Maiden as exemplary da	amages, if any, for the conduct found in response to
342	Question No. 9?	
343	"Exemplary damages" means an amour	nt that you may in your discretion award as a penalty
344	or by way of punishment.	

Case 3:21-cv-00327-D Document 212 Filed 12/20/22 Page 17 of 27 PageID 3069

345	Factors to consider in awarding exemplary damages, if any, are—				
346		1.	The nature of the wrong.		
347		2.	The character of the conduct	involved.	
348		3.	The degree of culpability of	the defendant in question.	
349		4.	The situation and sensibilitie	es of the parties concerned.	
350 351		5.	The extent to which such conduct offends a public sense of justice and propriety.		
352		6.	The net worth of the defenda	ant in question.	
353 354 355 356		the evi	<u>Instruction</u> : Maiden has the burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence. Answer in dollars and cents, if any. Answer only as to a defendant as to whom you answered "Yes" in response to Question No. 9.		
357		1.	DSS	ANSWER: $57,000,000$ ANSWER: $57,500,000$	
358		2.	Decentralized	ANSWER: \$7,500,000	
359		3.	HWH	ANSWER: $$7,500,000$	
360		4.	RBC International	ANSWER: \$0	
361		5.	RBC Sciences	ANSWER: 50	
362		6.	RBC USA	ANSWER: 50	

DEFENDANTS' DEFENSES

Defendants deny that Maiden can meet its burden of proving that it is entitled to recover on its fraudulent transfer claims. Defendants also rely on defenses to these claims, for which they have the burden of proof. Maiden denies that defendants can prove their defenses.

If you answered Question No. 1 "Yes" in at least one space, and you answered Question No. 8 "Yes" as to at least one defendant, answer Question No. 11 as to a defendant as to whom you answered "Yes" in response to Question No. 8. Otherwise, do not answer Question No. 11.

QUESTION NO. 11:

Did the defendant in question take any of the assets listed below in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value?

A party takes an asset in good faith if the party (1) had no actual notice of the fraudulent intent of the debtor and (2) lacked knowledge of such facts as would cause a person of ordinary prudence to question whether the debtor had fraudulent intent.

"Reasonably equivalent value" means an amount that at the time of the transfer was within the range of values for which such transfers would occur in an arm's-length transaction.

<u>Instruction</u>: The defendant in question has the burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence. If it has met its burden of proof, answer "Yes"; otherwise, answer "No."

Case 3:21-cv-00327-D Document 212 Filed 12/20/22 Page 19 of 27 PageID 3071

381	ANSWER:		
382	Defendant	RBC Sciences Property	RBC USA Property
383	HWH	No	No
384	DSS	No	No
385	RBC International	O N 0	No
386	Decentralized	No	No
388 388 389	If you answered Question No. 1, Question No. 8 "Yes" as to at least one downwood whom you answered "Yes" in response to 12.	efendant, answer Question No.	12 as to a defendant as to
391	QUESTION NO. 12:		
392	Did the defendant in question take	e any of the assets listed below	in good faith?
393	A party takes an asset in good fai	th if the party (1) had no actua	l notice of the fraudulent
194	intent of the debtor and (2) lacked knowl	ledge of such facts as would ca	ause a person of ordinary
95	prudence to question whether the debtor h	nad fraudulent intent.	
96 97 98	<u>Instruction</u> : The defendan preponderance of the evid answer "Yes"; otherwise, a	t in question has the burden of lence. If it has met its burden answer "No."	proof by a of proof,

399	ANSWER:		
400	<u>Defendant</u>	RBC Sciences Property	RBC USA Property
401	HWH	No	No
402	DSS	No	No
403	RBC International	No	N o
404	Decentralized	No	No
405	If you answered Question No. 1	1 "Yes" in at least one space,	answer Question No. 13.
406	Otherwise, do not answer Question No.	13.	
407	QUESTION NO. 13:		
408	Did RBC Sciences give any of the	e assets listed below to one or mo	ore of the DSS Defendants
109	in good faith?		
110	For purposes of answering this qu	uestion, you are instructed as fo	llows.
111	A party gives an asset in good fai	th if it is given to another party	pursuant to a valid lien or
112	pursuant to a foreclosure on a valid lien.		
113	A "valid lien" means a lien that is	effective against the holder of a	judicial lien subsequently
14	obtained by legal or equitable process or	proceedings.	
15	A lien that is fraudulently crea	ted—that is, that is conferred	as part of a fraudulent
16	scheme—is not a valid lien. Also, even a		

part of a larger fraudulent scheme.

417

Case 3:21-cv-00327-D Document 212 Filed 12/20/22 Page 21 of 27 PageID 3073

A party also gives property in good faith to another party if it was pursuant to a regularly 418 conducted, noncollusive foreclosure sale or execution of a power of sale for the acquisition or 419 disposition of the interest of the giving party upon default under a security agreement. 420 For purposes of a foreclosure sale, collusion means collusion in the actual conduct of the 421 sale. 422 Instruction: RBC Sciences has the burden of proof by a 423 preponderance of the evidence. If it has met its burden of proof, 424 answer "Yes"; otherwise, answer "No." 425 ANSWER: 426 To Defendant 427 **RBC** Sciences Property **RBC USA Stock** No **HWH** 428 No DSS 429 No **RBC** International 430 No No Decentralized 431 432 If you answered Question No. 3 "Yes" in at least one space, answer Question No. 14. 433 Otherwise, do not answer Question No. 14. 434 **QUESTION NO. 14:** 435 Did HWH enforce its security interest in the RBC Sciences Property in compliance with 436 Chapter 9 of the Texas Business and Commerce Code? 437 For purposes of answering this question, you are instructed as follows. 438

- 21 -

439

440

collateral property.

After a debtor defaults, a secured party may sell or otherwise dispose of any or all of the

Case 3:21-cv-00327-D Document 212 Filed 12/20/22 Page 22 of 27 PageID 3074

To comply with Chapter 9 of the Texas Business and Commerce Code, a secured party must 441 provide reasonable notice of the sale and conduct the sale in a commercially reasonable manner. 442 Reasonable notice must be provided to (1) the debtor, (2) any party which sent a notification 443 of a claim of interest in the collateral property to the secured party before the notification date, and 444 (3) any other secured party or lienholder that filed a financing statement identifying the collateral 445 property at least 10 days before the notification date. 446 Notice sent 10 days or more before the foreclosure sale is sent within a reasonable time. 447 Notice is proper if it (1) describes the debtor and secured party, (2) describes the collateral 448 property, (3) states the intended method of sale, (4) states that the debtor is entitled to an accounting, 449 and (5) states the time and place of a public sale. 450 A foreclosure sale is made in a commercially reasonable manner if it conforms with 451 reasonable commercial practices among dealers in the type of property that was the subject of the 452 sale. 453 The fact that a greater amount could have been obtained by a sale at a different time or in a 454 different method is not alone sufficient to show the foreclosure sale was not commercially 455 reasonable. 456 Instruction: HWH has the burden of proof by a preponderance of the 457 evidence. If it has met its burden of proof, answer "Yes"; otherwise, 458 answer "No." 459 answer: No 460 If you answered Question No. 3 "Yes" in at least one space, answer Question No. 14A. 461

Otherwise, do not answer Question No. 14A.

462

QUESTION NO. 14A:

Was Decentralized's security interest in the RBC USA Property enforced in compliance with Chapter 9 of the Texas Business and Commerce Code?

After a debtor defaults, a secured party may propose to accept the collateral property in partial satisfaction of the debt. With respect to the October 2019 Note, RBC USA was a debtor as to Decentralized, and Decentralized was a secured party.

For an acceptance of collateral to comply with Chapter 9 of the Texas Business and Commerce Code, (1) a secured party must send a proposal to accept collateral to the debtor, (2) the secured party must send notice of its proposal to accept collateral to the proper parties, (3) the debtor must agree to the terms of the acceptance in a record authenticated after default, and (4) the secured party does not receive an objection to the proposal within 20 days after notification was sent to that party.

Notice of the proposal to accept collateral must be provided to (1) any party which sent a notification of a claim of interest in the collateral property to the secured party before the debtor agrees to the terms of the acceptance, (2) any other secured party or lienholder that filed a financing statement identifying the collateral property at least 10 days before the debtor agrees to the terms of the acceptance, and (3) any secondary obligor.

An "obligor" is a person that, with respect to an obligation secured by a security interest in the collateral, (i) owes payment or other performance of the obligation, (ii) has provided property other than the collateral to secure payment or other performance of the obligation, or (iii) is otherwise accountable in whole or in part for payment or other performance of the obligation.

Case 3:21-cv-00327-D Document 212 Filed 12/20/22 Page 24 of 27 PageID 3076

484	A "secondary obligor" is an obligor to the extent that (a) the obligor's obligation is
485	secondary; or (b) the obligor has a right of recourse with respect to an obligation secured by
486	collateral against the debtor, another obligor, or property of either.
487	Instruction: Decentralized has the burden of proof by a
488 489	preponderance of the evidence. If it has met its burden of proof, answer "Yes"; otherwise, answer "No."
490	ANSWER: No
491	If you answered Question No. 1 or 3 "Yes" in at least one space, answer Question No. 15.
492	Otherwise, do not answer Question No. 15.
493	QUESTION NO. 15:
494	Is Maiden estopped from complaining about the transfer of the RBC Sciences Property?
495	A party will be estopped in order to prevent it from asserting, to another's disadvantage, a
196	right inconsistent with a position previously taken by it. Estoppel applies where it would be
197	unconscionable to allow that party to maintain its present position, which is inconsistent with its
198	previous position.
199 500 501	<u>Instruction</u> : Defendants have the burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence. If they have met their burden of proof, answer "Yes"; otherwise, answer "No."
02	ANSWER: VO

Jury Deliberations

The fact that I have given you in this charge instructions about a particular claim or defense, or that I have not so instructed you, should not be interpreted in any way as an indication that I believe a particular party should, or should not, win this case.

In order to return a verdict your verdict must be unanimous. It is your duty as jurors to consult one another and to deliberate with a view towards reaching an agreement. Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but only after an impartial consideration with each other of all the evidence in the case. In the course of your deliberations, do not hesitate to reexamine your own view and change your opinion if convinced it is erroneous. Do not, however, surrender your honest conviction as to the weight or effect of the evidence solely because of the opinion of other jurors or for the mere purpose of returning a verdict. Remember at all times that you are not partisans. You are judges—judges of the facts. Your sole interest is to seek the truth from the evidence in the case.

After I finish reading this charge, you will retire to the jury room. I will send you the exhibits that have been admitted into evidence. You will first select one member of the jury to act as presiding juror. The presiding juror will preside over your deliberations and will speak on your behalf here in court.

Do not deliberate unless all members of the jury are present in the jury room. In other words, if one or more of you go to lunch together or are together outside the jury room, do not discuss the case.

When you have reached unanimous agreement as to your verdict, the presiding juror shall fill in your answers to the questions on a copy of the charge that I will provide to you for this purpose, shall date and sign the last page of that copy of the charge, and shall notify the court

Case 3:21-cv-00327-D Document 212 Filed 12/20/22 Page 26 of 27 PageID 3078

security officer that you have reached a verdict. The court security officer will then deliver the verdict to me.

The court will honor the schedule you set for your deliberations and your requests for breaks during your deliberations. From time to time I may communicate with you concerning your schedule. This is done primarily for the purpose of anticipating the court's staffing needs, and is not in any way intended to suggest that your deliberations should be conducted at a different pace or on a different schedule.

During the trial, the court reporter made a verbatim record of the proceedings. The court rules do not provide for testimony to be produced for the jury in written form, or for testimony to be read back to the jury as a general aid in refreshing the jurors' memories. In limited circumstances, the court may direct the court reporter to read testimony back to the jury in open court. This is done, however, only when the jury certifies that it disagrees as to the testimony of a particular witness, and identifies the specific testimony in dispute.

If, during your deliberations, you desire to communicate with me, your presiding juror will reduce your message or question to writing, sign it, and pass the note to the court security officer, who will bring it to my attention. I will then respond as promptly as possible, either in writing or by asking you to return to the courtroom so that I can address you orally. If you do send a message or ask a question in which you indicate that you are divided, never state or specify your numerical division at the time.

December 19, 2022.

SENIOR JUDGE

Case 3:21-cv-00327-D Document 212 Filed 12/20/22 Page 27 of 27 PageID 3079

The foregoing is the unanimous verdict of the jury.

Dated: 17/70/77

550 551

Presiding Juror